## CCCM Camp Profiles, Central Rakhine, Myanmar June 2020

Township Camp Name

Multiple selec... 

All



Monitoring Period

Q2 (Apr-Jun 2... V



# Individuals 124,249

# Households


23,292


Children


53%

Elderly


4%













### Status of community structures



## Overview of CMA service provision by Cluster/Sector



# Say Tha Mar Gyi Dar Pal Thae Chaung Nget Chaung 1 Taung Paw Bing 2020 TomTom © 2020 HERE, © 2020 Microsoft Corporation

## CCCM Camp Profiles, Central Rakhine, Myanmar June 2020

Township

Multiple selec... ∨

AII V

Camp Name

All ~

Monitoring Period

Q2 (Apr-Jun 2...



							Camp C	rdination & Camp <i>I</i>	Management				NFI Non-food Item	n		
Township	IDP Site	# HHs	# IDPs	% Childern	% Elderly	CMA	Average camp area per person (in square meters)		# of committees / . community groups receiving trainings	Average # of recreational activities (past 3 mths)		% of shelter units with 6 persons or less		% of temporary shelters reconstructed or repaired within last 2 yrs	# of months since last NFI distribution	
Myebon	Taung Paw	716	2,920	51%	5%	RI	15	•	6	4	•	95%	•	0%	17	
Pauktaw	Ah Nauk Ywe	1,115	5,025	58%	2%	LWF	24	•	3	3	72%	72%		37%	24	
ı	Kyein Ni Pyin	1,309	6,091	57%	3%	DRC	31		3		•	82%	•	0%	31	•
	Nget Chaung 1	1,025	4,786	60%	3%	LWF	39	•	3	3	•	64%	•	0%	24	
ı	Nget Chaung 2 982 4,759 57% 4% LWF		LWF	18	•	3	3	•	58%	•	58%	24				
ı	Sin Tet Maw	682	2,538	43%	5%	DRC	21	•	3	3	•	94%	•	6%	7	•
Sittwe	Basare	381	2,369	51%	4%	LWF	37	•	3	3	•	46%	•	17%	6	
I	Baw Du Pha 1	1,008	4,697	47%	3%	DRC	20	•	5	3	•	88%		41%	19	•
	Baw Du Pha 2	1,333	7,531	53%	4%	DRC	14	•	6	, 4	•	69%	•	98%	17	•
	Dar Pai	1,850	10,892	52%	3%	DRC	14	•	4	, 4	•	64%	•	100%	12	•
	Khaung Doke Khar 1	392	2,400	54%	4%	LWF	19	•	3	3	•	47%	•	88%	5 (	•
	Khaung Doke Khar 2	400	2,234	52%	4%	LWF	24	•	3	3	•	56%	•	84%	6	•
I	Maw Ti Ngar	657	3,812	50%	5%	NRC	26	•	0	0	•	69%	•	98%	7	•
	Ohn Taw Chay	777	4,611	60%	2%	DRC	16	•	6	3	•	62%	•	43%	24	•
	Ohn Taw Gyi North	2,647	14,499	56%	4%	DRC	23	•	4	, 4	•	89%		28%	11 (	•
	Ohn Taw Gyi South	2,260	11,810	55%	2%	LWF	19	•	3	3	•	65%	•	23%	6	•
	Say Tha Mar Gyi	2,678	14,526	56%	3%	DRC	12	•	5	, 4	•	75%	•	55%	20	•
	Thae Chaung	2,062	12,380	51%	4%	LWF	7	•	3	3	•	48%	•	0%	5 (	•
	Thet Kae Pyin	1,018	6,369	51%	4%	NRC	24	•	0	0	•	59%	•	24%	7	•

# CCCM Camp Profiles, Central Rakhine, Myanmar June 2020

Multiple selec... ∨

Township

Camp Name

CMA All Monitoring Period

Q2 (Apr-Jun 2... ∨









							P	rotection	Child F	Protection	GBV	Education			
Township	IDP Site	# HHs	# IDPs	% Childern	% Elderly	CMA	Vulnerability (in %)	Presence of a complaints & feedback mechanisms	# of children in need benefitting from PSS through CFS activities & adolescent programming	Presence of an on-site child protection service provider	Presence of an on-site GBV service provider	% of children in TLS who attend more than 75% of school days per month	Student- volunteer teacher ratio		
Myebon	Taung Paw	716	2,920	51%	5%	RI	9%	Gap 🛑	80	Yes •	Yes • 80% •	0%	0:1		
Pauktaw	Ah Nauk Ywe	1,115	5,025	58%	2%	LWF	16%	Yes	965	Yes •	Yes • 12%	100%	31:1		
	Kyein Ni Pyin	1,309	6,091	57%	3%	DRC	22%	Yes	423	Yes •	Yes • 18%	100%	35:1		
	Nget Chaung 1	1,025	4,786	60%	3%	LWF	26%	Yes	340	Yes •	Yes • 85% •	100%	29:1		
	Nget Chaung 2	982	4,759	57%	4%	LWF	21%	Yes	400	Yes •	Yes • 85%	100%	27:1		
	Sin Tet Maw	682	2,538	43%	5%	DRC	28%	Yes	472	Yes •	Yes • 34%	100%	36:1		
Sittwe	Basare	381	2,369	51%	4%	LWF	17%	Yes	830	Yes •	Yes • 0%	100%	40:1		
	Baw Du Pha 1	1,008	4,697	47%	3%	DRC	10%	Yes	335	Yes •	Yes 0%	100%	33:1		
	Baw Du Pha 2	1,333	7,531	53%	4%	DRC	9%	Yes	247	Yes •	Yes • 15% •	100%	31:1		
	Dar Pai	1,850	10,892	52%	3%	DRC	9%	Yes	272	Yes •	Yes • 0%	100%	26:1		
	Khaung Doke Khar 1	392	2,400	54%	4%	LWF	16%	Yes	233	Yes •	Yes • 85% •	100%	19:1		
	Khaung Doke Khar 2	400	2,234	52%	4%	LWF	14%	Yes	252	Yes •	Yes • 0% •	100%	37:1		
	Maw Ti Ngar	657	3,812	50%	5%	NRC	14%	Yes	0	Yes •	Yes • 0% •	100%	63:1		
	Ohn Taw Chay	777	4,611	60%	2%	DRC	12%	Yes	605	Yes •	Yes <b>25</b> %	100%	72:1		
	Ohn Taw Gyi North	2,647	14,499	56%	4%	DRC	13%	Yes	542	Yes •	Yes • 9%	100%	23:1		
	Ohn Taw Gyi South	2,260	11,810	55%	2%	LWF	17%	Yes	405	Yes •	Yes • 85% •	100%	23:1		
	Say Tha Mar Gyi	2,678	14,526	56%	3%	DRC	9%	Yes	1241	Yes •	Yes • 0% •	100%	33:1		
	Thae Chaung	2,062	12,380	51%	4%	LWF	10%	Yes	129	Yes •	Yes • 85% •	100%	33:1		
	Thet Kae Pyin	1,018	6,369	51%	4%	NRC	15%	Yes	924	Yes •	Yes • 0% •	100%	42:1		

# CCCM Camp Profiles, Central Rakhine, Myanmar June 2020

Township

Multiple selec...

All V

Camp Name

All

Monitoring Period

Q2 (Apr-Jun 2... ∨



				Food			<u></u> Nutrition			Health				Water, Sanitation & Hygiene						
Township	IDP Site	# HHs	# IDPs	CMA	% of households with access to food aid in the previous 3 months	Female participation on Food Management Committee (FMC)	•	Coverage (in %) of Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM) program	•	Coverage (in %) of Moderate Acute Malnutrition (MAM) program	Health services available on- site or within walking distance	•	24/7 . ambulance services available at site	# of people . per functioning latrine	Liters per person per day (estimate)	•	% of HHs receiving WASH consumables for last month	0	quency . If solid waste isposal	
Myebon	Taung Paw	716	2,920	RI	90%	Sometimes		100%	•	0%	Yes	•	Yes	2920	>7.5 < 15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
Pauktaw	Ah Nauk Ywe	1,115	5,025	LWF	94%	No		100%	•	100%	Yes	•	No •	33	>7.5 < 15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
	Kyein Ni Pyin	1,309	6,091	DRC	71%	No	•	100%	•	100%	Yes	•	No •	26	>7.5 < 15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
	Nget Chaung 1	1,025	4,786	LWF	72%	No	•	100%	•	100%	Yes	•	No •	39	>7.5 < 15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly •	
	Nget Chaung 2	982	4,759	LWF	65%	No	•	100%	•	100%	Yes	•	No •	34	<7.5 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
	Sin Tet Maw	682	2,538	DRC	99%	No	•	100%	•	100%	Yes	•	No •	8	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
Sittwe	Basare	381	2,369	LWF	94%		•	100%	•	100%	Yes	•	Yes •	23	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
İ	Baw Du Pha 1	1,008	4,697	DRC	88%		•	100%	_	100%	Yes	•	Yes	20	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
	Baw Du Pha 2	1,333	7,531	DRC	100%	No	•	100%	•	100%	Yes	•	Yes	29	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
	Dar Pai	1,850	10,892	DRC	84%		•	100%	•	100%	Yes	•	Yes	25	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
1	Khaung Doke Khar 1	392	2,400	LWF	98%	No		100%	•	100%	Yes	•	Yes	22	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
1	Khaung Doke Khar 2	400	2,234	LWF	72%	No	•	100%	_	100%	Yes	•	Yes	22	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
1	Maw Ti Ngar	657	3,812	NRC	89%	No	•	100%	-	100%	Yes	•	Yes	20	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
1	Ohn Taw Chay	777	,	DRC	63%		•	100%		100%	Yes	•	Yes	25	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
1	Ohn Taw Gyi North	2,647	14,499	DRC	78%	No		100%	_	100%	Yes	•	Yes	25	>15 liters	•	100%		weekly	
1	Ohn Taw Gyi South	2,260	11,810	LWF	36%		•	100%	•	100%	Yes	•	Yes	16	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
1	Say Tha Mar Gyi	2,678	14,526	DRC	92%	No		100%		100%	Yes	•	Yes	29	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly	
	Thae Chaung	2,062	12,380	LWF	100%	No	•	100%	•	100%	Yes	•	Yes	34	>15 liters	•	90%	<= V	weekly	
	Thet Kae Pyin	1,018	6,369	NRC	100%	No		100%	•	100%	Yes	•	Yes	26	>15 liters	•	100%	<= V	weekly 🔵	