Searching for Justice in the Law
Introduction
The Government of Myanmar has acknowledged that "weaknesses in the rule of law places a daily burden upon our people, particularly those who are poor and vulnerable, and presents a barrier to achieving durable peace, stability and sustainable development". Responding to these challenges will require building a more just, equitable and accessible legal system. Evidence is essential for ensuring that policy priorities respond to people’s needs and are actionable.

In 2017, MyJustice commissioned a nationwide survey on the state of access to justice. This policy brief introduces findings around the four main themes explored:

1. Justice and the law
2. Who provides justice?
3. One size does not fit all
4. Vulnerability matters

Full and detailed analysis of the survey data is available in the final report Justice and the Law: Understanding Access to Justice in Myanmar.

About the MyJustice Survey
Between June and August 2017, MyJustice conducted the largest, statistically significant survey on justice issues undertaken to date in Myanmar.

3,565 people over the age of 18 were randomly selected from across all regions and states in Myanmar. The respondents broadly represent the national demographic profile, in terms of gender, education and urban/rural classification. With a statistical margin of error of +/- 1.38%, these findings are representative of the views of the population as a whole.

MyJustice also conducted qualitative research—in-depth interviews and focus group discussions—in an additional 20 townships.

---

1. Myanmar Sustainable Development Plan (Draft February 2018)
2. Forthcoming in May 2018 at www.myjusticemyanmar.org
3. Survey conducted by KANTAR TNS
4. Except where indicated, the study did not cover conflict-affected locations
Justice and the law

People do not see the law or its institutions as providing access to justice. Building public confidence requires demonstrable changes in how the law is used in practice, and not just efforts to increase awareness of the law.

1.1 Across Myanmar there is a common understanding that ‘justice’ describes principles of fairness and equality. Nine in ten people fully agree that everyone should be treated fairly and with respect when facing justice issues. Similarly, 85% of people believe that the needs of vulnerable people should be taken into consideration in providing justice.

Everyone should be treated fairly and with respect when facing justice issues.

I fully agree 92%

The needs of vulnerable people should be taken into consideration in providing justice.

I fully agree 85%

1.2 But people do not see the role of the law or the work of justice sector institutions as protecting rights. They view the role of the law as a means to control people and ensure public security, rather than providing just processes for protecting people’s rights or resolving disputes. One in five people do not know what the purpose of the law is.

Why do we have laws?

- So that people behave themselves: 35%
- Prevent crime: 25%
- Punish criminals: 23%
- Keep control in society: 18%
- Settle disputes: 16%
- Protect the rights of people: 9%
- Protect the rights of government power: 2%
- Regulate relations between government and people: 5%
- To control misuse of government power: 2%

Protection of rights

Control in society
Awareness is not the primary challenge, it is lack of trust in how law is administered. It is commonly assumed that people lack knowledge of the law, but across a range of legal topics, people had a generally good idea of what the laws contained. Rather, their perception of the role of law seems to come from a collective experience of how the law has been administered in Myanmar’s recent history. Justice institutions recognise that low public trust remains a critical issue.

Based on this evidence, government institutions may need to demonstrate a commitment to better interaction with the public if they seek to improve trust. This needs to start by placing the views and experiences of Myanmar’s people at the centre of the design and implementation of justice sector reform strategies.

---

1.3 A woman has the right to file a report with the police against physical violence by her husband (90%)

All persons accused of a crime have a right to be represented by a lawyer (91%)

Police cannot keep a person in custody beyond 24 hours without permission from the court (77%)

---

5 See, for example, the strategic plan (2015-2019) of the Union Attorney General’s Office titled Moving Forward to the Rule of Law.

6 Towards Improving Justice for All: Justice Strategic Plan (2018-2022)
Who provides justice?

Justice remains inaccessible for many people. Local actors—in particular ward or village tract administrators (W/VTA)—play a key role, but have not been a focus of justice reforms so far.

2.1 A significant number of people do not have confidence in anyone to provide access to justice across several key indicators.

Four in ten report that no actor is affordable; over 28% claim that no actor will provide services without asking for unofficial fees; and 18% believe no actor will settle disputes without bias.

2.2 The study highlighted the central role played by local actors in resolving disputes in Myanmar, including in relationship to higher level justice actors.

Overwhelmingly, people identify the ward or village tract administrator (W/VTA) as the primary actor for justice functions. These tasks include maintaining security, preventing and investigating crime and punishing offenders. Justice sector officials were identified as having a role for only three tasks: investigating crime, punishing those guilty of crime and determining guilt or innocence.

2.3 Other actors also play a role.

One in five or more view the 10/100 household leader as playing a role and identify community elders as supporting the W/VTA. Some evidence reveals that the W/VTA play the role of gatekeeper, determining which issues to refer to the police or using the threat of referral to police or the courts to pressure parties to accept local resolutions. There is no clear guidance for or oversight of the various authorities, how they interact or the fairness of how disputes are resolved.
To improve Myanmar's justice sector, we must engage with local actors. They play prominent roles in delivering justice services and often enjoy higher levels of trust in the community. This requires clearer connections between justice policy and reforms in local governance mechanisms, which should include linked mandates and appropriate checks and balances.

If faced with issues that are perceived as belonging to the domestic or community sphere, people say they will approach a W/VTA. If faced with criminal disputes people show a clear preference for the police. Responses show that if faced with administrative disputes, people generally report they don’t know what to do or whom to approach.

**What do you think is the best way to settle these problems?**

- Bribery
- Water access
- Forestry dispute
- Arrest by authorities
- Family dispute
- Wages and working hours
- Repayment of loan
- Separation/divorce
- Verbal abuse
- Domestic violence
- Fight
- Vehicle accident
- Murder
- Drug-related issues
- Child rape
- Theft
- Sexual assault

*Multiple responses allowed, only top three answers shown*
People’s justice needs are closely linked to their economic security and social well-being. Understanding these different needs is essential in developing effective justice responses.

### One size does not fit all

3.1 Disputes occur at a similar rate to other developing countries in Asia. Almost 17% of people report that they or someone they know have experienced a dispute in the last two years. Of those, just over 5% report experiencing multiple, often interrelated, disputes.

Has anyone in your household experienced a dispute in the last two years?

- 83.4% Have not experienced a dispute in the last two years
- 11.2% Have experienced at least one dispute in the last two years
- 5.4% One dispute
- 0.4% Two disputes
- 0.4% Three or more disputes

### 3.2 Many disputes have the potential to impact the ability of households to earn a living or access other basic services.

The three most reported types of justice issues are unpaid debts (32%), difficulties in accessing birth and identity documents (23%); and land registration (11%). Certain types of disputes, particularly relating to domestic violence, are probably under-reported.

3.1.1 If you have experienced, what type of dispute(s)?

- Economic
- Land & Natural Resources
- Administrative/Government
- Family
- Crime & Violence
- Accident

#### Economic

- Problems obtaining birth and identity certificates 23%
- Problems obtaining land registration certificates 16%
- Land grabbing 7%
- Inheritance dispute 5%
- Separation or divorce 4%
- Domestic violence 4%

#### Land & Natural Resources

- Problems obtaining fishing rights 2%
- Forestry disputes 1%
- Vehicle accident 10%

#### Administrative/Government

- Bribery or corruption 8%
- Theft 10%

#### Family

- Arrest by authorities 0.3%
- Murder 1%

#### Crime & Violence

- Theft 7%
- Drug problem 1%

#### Accident

- Use of force 1%
- Verbal abuse 9%

#### Unpaid Debts

- Loans 4%
- Disputes with employer 4%

#### Fight

- Use of force 1%
- Sexual assault 1%
- Child rape 1%
- Verbal abuse 9%

#### Domestic Violence

- Bribery or corruption 8%
Most justice issues are closely connected to the capacity of households to earn a living or reduce day-to-day livelihood risks. This highlights the important relationship between justice services and broader social development efforts, beyond the courts and lawyers.

Why didn’t you take any action?

- It would be a waste of time: 10%
- I didn’t want to upset the other party: 12%
- It would be too expensive: 13%
- I didn’t know whom to approach/what to do: 13%
- It was karma: 9%

---

3.3 When people face disputes, no action is taken in nearly half of all cases.1

Where action is taken, most people seek to address issues locally through the W/VT A or direct negotiation. People are less likely to act on debt-related disputes in comparison to land or identity issues.

1 Data relating to dispute pathways is from the additional MyJustice targeted survey of 20 townships, and is not representative nationally.
Vulnerability matters

There are groups of people that are more vulnerable and disempowered in relation to justice. This includes those from particular ethnic or religious groups, those with low education, rural inhabitants and women.

4.1 Certain groups experience multiple justice issues more often.

People from particular ethnic or religious groups are more vulnerable to experiencing multiple justice issues, which compounds the effects of injustice.

4.2 But they are less willing or able to recognise these as injustices or to seek redress.

People who live in rural areas, women and people with low education are more likely to answer “don’t know” or not respond at all to various survey questions.¹

I don’t know why we have laws

I don’t know what Lu A Kwint Ayay (human rights) means

Proportion of the population with ‘Don’t know’ responses

- Vulnerable women with low education from rural areas
- Other respondents

³ Low education means either no education or only completed up to primary school

44.6% 73.5%
36.6% 18.7%
Vulnerable people are more likely to experience multiple disputes and less able to recognise these as injustices and seek redress. Further research is needed to understand the implications of this ‘compounded disempowerment’ and to identify appropriate strategies to improve universal access to justice.

4.3 People who fit in a combination of these categories are likely to be even more vulnerable and disempowered in relation to justice issues.

Women from rural areas with low education — comprising over 17 per cent of the adult population — are almost twice as likely to lack the ability to respond. Data from the research suggests specific ethnic or religious groups face similar constraints.

I don’t know which source of information to trust for knowledge about laws/rights

- 23.1%
- 12.0%

I don’t know if people have the right to use state courts instead of customary practices

- 36.3%
- 24.6%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusions and recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>To improve access to justice in Myanmar, justice sector reforms should demonstrate real change:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar’s justice sector institutions have acknowledged the importance of building public confidence and trust but solutions tend to focus primarily on improving legal awareness. While public awareness remains important, for Myanmar’s justice sector reform to work, people must see and experience the law protecting their rights.</td>
</tr>
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<td>Myanmar’s justice sector institutions have acknowledged the importance of building public confidence and trust but solutions tend to focus primarily on improving legal awareness. While public awareness remains important, for Myanmar’s justice sector reform to work, people must see and experience the law protecting their rights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justice should be part of local governance:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most people in Myanmar will continue to seek justice services and to resolve disputes within their local community. For many this will be the WVT, although other local actors also exist. The findings show the complexity of people’s expectations of the functions of different justice sector institutions. Even where people seek justice locally, a sizeable part of the population have concerns about the lack of access to neutral, trustworthy and affordable justice at any level.</td>
</tr>
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<td>Most people in Myanmar will continue to seek justice services and to resolve disputes within their local community. For many this will be the WVT, although other local actors also exist. The findings show the complexity of people’s expectations of the functions of different justice sector institutions. Even where people seek justice locally, a sizeable part of the population have concerns about the lack of access to neutral, trustworthy and affordable justice at any level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justice sector institutions should consider how to implement concrete steps to improve people’s experience of fair justice processes, that will in turn change perceptions and build trust.</strong></td>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td><strong>Strategies should connect justice services and mandates with accountable local governance frameworks to ensure all people have equal access to fair, affordable and inclusive justice mechanisms.</strong></td>
</tr>
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</table>
Access to justice is listed in Sustainable Development Goal 16.

Justice should go hand-in-hand with poverty reduction and building durable peace:

The research emphasises the importance of access to justice as a prerequisite for sustainable poverty reduction and equitable social and economic development. This is consistent with the expanding evidence-base globally on how justice is essential for sustainable development.*

The findings identify the negative impact a lack of justice can have on the livelihoods of the poor and vulnerable, particularly for issues such as land, debt or challenges in accessing administrative services. The poor or specific vulnerable groups are also significantly more disempowered in accessing avenues to address justice issues.

Access to justice should be at the centre of the Government of Myanmar's efforts to reduce poverty and build durable peace.

Justice sector reforms should explore ways to integrate with other basic services including health and education, and promote accountable government service delivery to meet the needs of Myanmar's most vulnerable communities, including those affected by conflict.

* Access to justice is listed in Sustainable Development Goal 16.
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